Clinical Evaluation of Two Techniques for Narrow Alveolar Ridge Expansion: Clinical Study
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Abstract

Background: Implant placement in horizontally deficient alveolar ridges can be challenging. Several bone expanding surgical techniques have been established in order to simultaneously allow horizontal bone expansion along with implant placement in one surgical visit.

Aim/Hypothesis: To compare the amount of ridge expansion achieved using two different techniques, Osseodensification technique (ODT) and threaded expanders technique (TET).

Methods: Twenty-eight implant implants were inserted in 11 patients. Implants were divided into two equal distinct groups according to Ridge Expansion Technique: Osseodensification Technique (Group A) and Threaded Expander Technique (Group B). Alveolar ridge thickness was measured in two different stages (at the base line, after expansion and implant placement), and amount of alveolar ridge expansion in mm was calculated for each implant.

Results: Both techniques were useful in achieving expansion, and all implants placed were successful. The amount of achieved expansion was significantly higher in Osseodensification group where the average expansion was 2.36 mm while the average amount of expansion in threaded expanders group was 1.5 mm.

Conclusions: The Osseodensification technique demonstrated the ability to increase ridge width and bone volume around implants without creating dehiscence or fenestration or sacrificing bone. This study showed that the amount of expansion achieved with Densah bur drilling was superior to manual threaded expanders.
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Introduction

Bone resorption after tooth loss is inevitable event, often resulting in inadequate bone dimensions for dental implant placement in an ideal position [1]. After tooth extraction bucco-lingual alveolar ridge dimension decreases significantly, over a period of 3-12 months, the amount of resorption can reach approximately 50% of the original bone width [2]. Several Surgical techniques and reconstruction procedures have been proposed to increase alveolar ridge dimension in order to obtain sufficient bone volume for adequate implant placement [3-5].

These techniques include guided bone regeneration; bone block grafts; distraction osteogenesis; ridge splitting; ridge expansion; and combinations of techniques. Bone expansion is a technique used in atrophic alveolar ridges of about 3-4mm [6], the major benefit of this procedure is that the implants may be inserted simultaneously with the bone expansion procedure. The bone can beflexed to some extent due to its elasticity [7], compression and condensation of bone can be also achieved [8].

Summers in 1994 introduced the osteotome technique to compact and expand the bone and improve bone–implant interface properties [9]. It consists of a sequence of cylindrical bone condensing instruments, which compress the trabecular bone apically and laterally simultaneous to bone expansion. The goal of this technique is to increase implant primary stability and expand the edentulous ridge without removing additional bone [9, 10].

However, despite the benefits of the Summers’ osteotome tech-
nique, there are limitations which include surgical trauma, unintentional fracture of bone, patient vertigo [11], and the use of osteotomes tend to induce micro-fractures of the trabeculae that may lead to prolonged healing period due to bone resorption, thus delaying osseointegration [12], and it is mainly designed for the maxilla.

A histologic study, noted that the increase in the bone density was only in the periapical area, while there was no significant change at the lateral walls [13].

Another technique has been introduced to improve the quality and quantity of bone around the implant, it consists of using threaded expanders that can facilitate width expansion of atrophic alveolar ridges without using a surgical mallet [14]. These expanders help to avoid the surgical trauma due to malleting [8], and permit a precise control on pressure exerted during ridge expansion. Moreover, it can be used as condensers of trabecular bone increasing bone density around the newly placed implant, thereby improving the primary stability [15].

This method of bone expansion is considered minimal invasive simple and useful technique to place implants in cases of horizontally absorbed ridges [16]. The threaded expander is different from the Summers’ osteotome in design, technique of use and can be used in both the maxilla and mandible [17].

Recently, a new method of biomechanical bone preparation termed as Osseodensification was developed by Huwais [18]. It is carried out using specially designed burs named Densah. The burs are designed to preserve and compact bone, and can be used in the clockwise or counter-clockwise direction to increase the bone density and expand the osteotomy without the extraction of the bony matrix taking advantage of viscoelastic bone properties [19, 20], these burs combine advantages of osteotomes with the speed and tactile control of the drilling procedures and can be used for low and high density bones [21, 22].

According to the manufacturer, these special burs demonstrated the ability to expand narrow bone ridges similarly to split crest techniques [12].

The aim of this study is to compare the effects of Osseodensification burs and threaded expanders on bone expansion in narrow alveolar ridges.

Materials and Methods

The sample was consisted from 28 implants that were inserted to 11 subjects aging between 28 and 69 years old. Implants were divided into two equal distinct groups according to Alveolar Ridge Expansion Technique: Osseodensification Technique (ODT) and Threaded Expander Technique (TET).

Patient selection

The selection criteria of patients included patients of both genders, over 18 years who had a minimum of two missing teeth in either jaws with horizontally insufficient bony ridge for implant placement. All patients met the following inclusion criteria: good oral hygiene, presence of edentulous site with initial alveolar ridge width range between (4-5 mm) with minimum of 2 mm trabecular bone core between cortical plates without buccal concavities. Patients were excluded if they had uncontrolled systemic conditions, or systemic disorders that could compromise osseointegration, or if they were taking medications that can affect bone metabolism such as steroids.

A cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scan was carried out to patients before the intervention to assess bone quality and to quantify the ridge height and width of the supporting bone, and to locate major anatomical features.

The materials used consisted of Densah bur kit (Figure 1A), ACE threaded expanders kit (Figure 1B), TRI implant surgical kit, implant surgical motor and handpiece.

Surgical procedure

Preoperative antibiotics (1g of amoxicillin) were prescribed to each patient for 6 days, starting one hour before surgery. Local anesthesia was achieved by infiltrating lidocaine 2% containing 1:10000 adrenaline. A crestal incision was made without vertical incisions, and a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was raised to gain access to the bone (Figure 2A). A small round bur was used to localize the sites where implants will be placed, and ridge thickness was recorded from the most coronal part of the alveolar ridge.

Threaded Expanders Surgical Technique

The ACE expansion kit composed of 4 Threaded bone expand-
ers of increasing diameter, a carrier and a ratchet. Site preparation began with the use of a 1.7mm pilot drill at speed of 1100 rpm to the desired depth. Once adequate depth was attained, a series of threaded expanders with progressively increasing diameter (2.5, 3, 3.1, 3.6) mm were used to gradually expand the site horizontally, depending on bone width and the selected implant to be placed (Figure 2B). Expanders were always used carefully with hand control wrench, after each half turn, there was a pause of 10-20 seconds before turning the wrench another half turn in order to give time to bone to accommodate to the expansion. Finally, an implant was placed according to achieved expansion. The ridge width was then measured again at the top of the ridge.

**Osseodensification Surgical Technique**

The initial bone ridge width was measured after marking implant sites, then osteotomy was prepared to the desired depth using a 1.7mm pilot drill (clockwise drilling at 1100 rpm) thereafter Denşah Burs (Versah, LLC, Jackson, MI) were used (Figure 3A) in sequence, gradually wider diameter (2–2.3–3.3–3.5) mm. The burs were used in a counterclockwise rotation and bouncing motion under profuse saline irrigation with speed of 1100 rpm (Figure 3B). Each osteotomy was prepared to a diameter 0.2-0.5 mm less than the desired implant diameter.

**Implant Insertion**

Endosseous dental implants (TRI–Vent, Switzerland) were immediately placed after performing the bone expansion. All implants were installed without irrigation, using a low speed drilling procedure (35 rpm).

After implant insertion, cover screws were secured, and the surgical wounds were closed by a non-resorbable 3-0 silk suture (Figure 4A and 4B).

Following surgery, patients were encouraged to take, in case of pain, acetaminophen (1g/8 hours). Another CBCT scan was taken to verify adequate placement of implants.

**Results**

Alveolar ridge thickness (in mm) was measured in two different stages (before treatment, after finishing the osteotomy and implant placement) for each implant case in the sample (table 1). Alveolar ridge expansion (in mm) was calculated for each implant in the sample like it is shown below:

Alveolar Ridge Expansion (in mm) for each implant = Final Alveolar Ridge Thickness (in mm) – Initial Alveolar Ridge Thickness (in mm) for the same studied implant.

Mean of alveolar ridge expansion values according to alveolar ridge expansion technique were (2.36 ± 0.31, 1.50 ± 0.28) in ODT and TET groups respectively (table 1 and figure 5). An Independent-Samples t-test was applied to know if there were significant differences in alveolar ridge expansion (in mm) values between ODT and TET groups. P-value was much lower than 0.05, so, at the confidence level of 95% there were significant differences in alveolar ridge expansion (in mm) between two groups in the studied sample. Positive algebraic sign of mean difference indicates that alveolar ridge expansion (in mm) value was greater in ODT group than in TET group.

---

**Figure 2.** (A) Full thickness mucoperiosteal flap elevation. (B) The threaded expanders used to expand the osteotomy.

**Figure 3.** (A) Osseodensification Denşah bur. (B) The Denşah bur used to expand the osteotomy.
Discussion

The insufficient bone amount around implants could negatively influence the bone-implant contact, bone volume and consequently osseointegration. Several surgical techniques have been proposed to avoid or reduce bone sacrifice during implant placement. Summer's Osteotome technique is an alternative to implant drilling procedure that aimed to compact bone while expanding implant site, but it has drawbacks because it is considered a traumatic technique due to the need to repeatedly use the mallet. The modern ridge expansion instruments include motorized expanders, piezoelectric devices and Densah burs. This study investigated the effects of two bone expansion techniques on amount of expansion in narrow alveolar ridges, we chose to expand the ridges > 4 mm in order to avoid bone fractures which happens more frequently during expansion of narrow ridges of about 3mm. The results showed that both techniques were useful in achieving expansion depending on initial ridge width and bone quality. All placed implants in the two groups were successful at 6 months follow up period. The average amount of expansion in ODT group was significantly higher than the average expansion achieved in TET group. This could be explained by the special design of Densah bur that produce compression and compacts bone laterally during its counterclockwise rotation, this compression on the lateral walls of osteotomy creates plastic deformation allowing the ridge to expand taking advantage of viscoelastic bone properties. For TET group the lateral walls of the expanders contains several threads and the compression generated during expansion procedure becomes greater on the tips of the threads, which differs from Densah burs where the stress distributes evenly along the inner walls of the osteotomy. Moreover, Ridge expansion using threaded expanders is a sensitive surgical technique as the surgeon's experience plays an important role in controlling the procedure when using the manual expansion. The use of Osseodensification burs helps in decreasing the technique sensitive aspect of the expansion procedure. It is worth mentioning that more time is needed when using the threaded expanders as we had to wait 20 second between each half turn of the ratchet to give time and gradually expand bone, whereas this process is faster and automatically controlled by the surgical motor when using Densah burs, also the progressively increasing diameter of Densah burs helps in gradual expansion of the site as the bur enters in the osteotomy, and the use of these burs resulted in achieving safe ridge expansion within a short interval of time, causing

Table 1. Alveolar Ridge Expansion (in mm) descriptive statistics according to Alveolar Ridge Expansion Technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied Variable = Alveolar Ridge Expansion</th>
<th>Alveolar Ridge Expansion Technique</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ossodensification Technique</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>7.771</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaded Expander Technique</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value <0.05 - Alveolar Ridge Expansion (in mm)
 minimal trauma. The role of Densah burs in improving insertion torque and primary implant stability has been studied by many authors [22-26], but the studies concerning the role of these burs in expanding narrow ridges are relatively few, and there are no available studies in literature where a comparison has been made between threaded expanders and Osseodensification technique. However, when evaluating Osseodensification technique Trisi and his colleagues in an in vivo study in sheep have shown that Densah burs allows the use of wider implants diameters in narrow alveolar ridges, with consequent increase in bone volume by 30% [12]. Sletetompared three implant preparation methods, the results from his study demonstrated that osteotomy preparation using Osseodensification method resulted in significantly more bone volume surrounding the implant [27].

Our results agree with those reported by Gaspar who used ODT for implant site preparation in horizontally deficient ridges ranged between (3.2 - 5.1 mm), he found that the ridge expansion ranged from (1.1 to 2.4 mm), and the greatest amount of bone expansion occurred at the coronal position, and was recorded on initially narrower ridges compared to wider ridges [28].

When evaluating TET, Mazzocco reported that the use of expanders is as effective as the lateral augmentation technique in increasing the thickness of horizontally deficient alveolar ridges [14].

Our results agree with those reported by Kim [16] who found that the use of threaded expanders is a successful and predictable technique for implant placement in narrow alveolar bone.

Chan evaluated the amount of ridge expansion achieved using screw expanders, the results of his study showed that the use of screw spreaders or expanders increased the ridge width by an average of 0.79mm [29], these results were slightly different from our study, which may be explained that all the expanded sites in his study was in maxilla, and the amount of available cancellous bone between cortical plates influences the amount of expansion as the cancellous bone absorbs some pressure and results in bone compaction instead of expansion, thus reducing the effectiveness of the expander.

Our results came in agreement with the findings of Kao [30] who compared the ridge splitting and ridge expansion technique using expanders, the results showed that there was no statistically significant difference increstal width gain between the two groups and the average width gain in ridge expansion group was 1.92 ± 0.61 mm.

Cortes in an analysis of 21 cases used threaded expanders to augment horizontally deficient ridges of about 3 to 5 mm, all placed implants had successful osseointegration and the average ridge width gain was 2.5 mm [31], which was higher than what was achieved in our study.

Conclusion

Osseodensification technique is a safe and predictable way to expand narrow alveolar ridges without causing bone dehiscences or fenestrations, this technique preserves bone tissue and helps in improving bone volume and maintaining alveolar ridge integrity thereby allows simultaneous implants placement with superior stability. This study showed that the amount of expansion achieved with Densah bur drilling was superior to manual threaded expanders.
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